

ANNEX A
GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD
Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form

Name of Agency: J. H. Cerilles State College
Date of Self Assessment: June 29, 2022

Name of Evaluator: Mr. Rebmevon M.
Position: Head, BAC Secretariat

| No. | Assessment Conditions | Agency Score | APCPI Rating* | Comments/Findings to the Indicators and SubIndicators | Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be included in the Evaluation) |
|--|--|------------------|---------------|---|--|
| PILLAR I. LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK | | | | | |
| Indicator 1. Competitive Bidding as Default Method of Procurement | | | | | |
| 1.a | Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of amount of total procurement | 83.48% | 2.00 | | PMRs |
| 1.b | Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of volume of total procurement | 9.09% | 0.00 | | PMRs |
| Indicator 2. Limited Use of Alternative Methods of Procurement | | | | | |
| 2.a | Percentage of shopping contracts in terms of amount of total procurement | 0.00% | 3.00 | | PMRs |
| 2.b | Percentage of negotiated contracts in terms of amount of total procurement | 15.57% | 0.00 | | PMRs |
| 2.c | Percentage of direct contracting in terms of amount of total procurement | 0.95% | 3.00 | | PMRs |
| 2.d | Percentage of repeat order contracts in terms of amount of total procurement | 0.00% | 3.00 | | PMRs |
| 2.e | Compliance with Repeat Order procedures | n/a | n/a | | Procurement documents relative to conduct of Repeat Order |
| 2.f | Compliance with Limited Source Bidding procedures | n/a | n/a | | Procurement documents relative to conduct of Limited Source Bidding |
| Indicator 3. Competitiveness of the Bidding Process | | | | | |
| 3.a | Average number of entities who acquired bidding documents | 2.64 | 0.00 | | Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records |
| 3.b | Average number of bidders who submitted bids | 2.64 | 1.00 | | Abstract of Bids or other agency records |
| 3.c | Average number of bidders who passed eligibility stage | 2.20 | 2.00 | | Abstract of Bids or other agency records |
| 3.d | Sufficiency of period to prepare bids | Fully Compliant | 3.00 | | Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records |
| 3.e | Use of proper and effective procurement documentation and technical specifications/requirements | Fully Compliant | 3.00 | | Cost Benefit Analysis, Work Plans, Technical Specifications included in bidding documents |
| | | Average I | 1.82 | | |
| PILLAR II. AGENCY INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND MANAGEMENT CAPACITY | | | | | |
| Indicator 4. Presence of Procurement Organizations | | | | | |
| 4.a | Creation of Bids and Awards Committee(s) | Fully Compliant | 3.00 | | Verify copy of Order creating BAC; Organizational Chart; and Certification of Training |
| 4.b | Presence of a BAC Secretariat or Procurement Unit | Fully Compliant | 3.00 | | Verify copy of Order creating BAC Secretariat; Organizational Chart; and Certification of Training |
| Indicator 5. Procurement Planning and Implementation | | | | | |
| 5.a | An approved APP that includes all types of procurement | Compliant | 3.00 | | Copy of APP and its supplements (if any) |
| 5.b | Preparation of Annual Procurement Plan for Common-Use Supplies and Equipment (APP-CSE) and Procurement of Common-Use Supplies and Equipment from the Procurement Service | Fully Compliant | 3.00 | | APP, APP-CSE, PMR |
| 5.c | Existing Green Specifications for GPPB-identified non-CSE items are adopted | Compliant | 3.00 | | ITBs and/or RFQs clearly indicate the use of green technical specifications for the procurement activity |
| Indicator 6. Use of Government Electronic Procurement System | | | | | |

ANNEX A
GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD
Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form

Name of Agency: J. H. Cerilles State College
Date of Self Assessment: June 29, 2022

Name of Evaluator: Mr. Rebmevon M.
Position: Head, BAC Secretariat

| No. | Assessment Conditions | Agency Score | APCPI Rating* | Comments/Findings to the Indicators and SubIndicators | Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be Included in the Evaluation) |
|-----|---|--------------|---------------|---|---|
| 6.a | Percentage of bid opportunities posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency | 86.94% | 2.00 | | Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records |
| 6.b | Percentage of contract award information posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency | 100.00% | 3.00 | | Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records |
| 6.c | Percentage of contract awards procured through alternative methods posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency | 0.00% | 0.00 | | Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records |
| | | | | | |

ANNEX A
GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD
Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form

Name of Agency: J. H. Cerilles State College
Date of Self Assessment: June 29, 2022

Name of Evaluator: Mr. Rebmevon M.
Position: Head, BAC Secretariat

| No. | Assessment Conditions | Agency Score | APCPI Rating* | Comments/Findings to the Indicators and SubIndicators | Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be included in the Evaluation) |
|---|---|-------------------|---------------|---|--|
| Indicator 7. System for Disseminating and Monitoring Procurement Information | | | | | |
| 7.a | Presence of website that provides up-to-date procurement information easily accessible at no cost | Fully Compliant | 3.00 | | Identify specific procurement-related portion in the agency website and specific website links |
| 7.b | Preparation of Procurement Monitoring Reports using the GPPB-prescribed format, submission to the GPPB, and posting in agency website | Fully Compliant | 3.00 | | Copy of PMR and received copy that it was submitted to GPPB |
| | | Average II | 2.60 | | |
| PILLAR III. PROCUREMENT OPERATIONS AND MARKET PRACTICES | | | | | |
| Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes | | | | | |
| 8.a | Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs | 93.81% | 3.00 | | APP (including Supplemental amendments, if any) and PMRs |
| 8.b | Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding | 100.00% | 3.00 | | APP(including Supplemental amendments, if any)and PMRs |
| 8.c | Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe | Fully Compliant | 3.00 | | Agency Procedures/Systems for the conduct of needs analysis or market research, monitoring of timely delivery of goods, works, or services Contracts with amendments and variations to order amount to 10% or less |
| Indicator 9. Compliance with Procurement Timeframes | | | | | |
| 9.a | Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure goods | 0.00% | 0.00 | | PMRs |
| 9.b | Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects | 0.00% | 0.00 | | PMRs |
| 9.c | Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services | n/a | n/a | | PMRs |
| Indicator 10. Capacity Building for Government Personnel and Private Sector Participants | | | | | |
| 10.a | There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis | Fully Compliant | 3.00 | | Samples of forms used to evaluating procurement performance on top of or incorporated within the regular assessment for Procurement Personnel |
| 10.b | Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program | 0.00% | 0.00 | | Ask for copies of Office Orders, training modules, list of participants, schedules of actual training conducted |
| 10.c | The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the procuring entity | Compliant | 3.00 | | Ask for copies of documentation of activities for bidders |
| Indicator 11. Management of Procurement and Contract Management Records | | | | | |
| 11.a | The BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records | Fully Compliant | 3.00 | | Verify actual procurement records and time it took to retrieve records (should be no more than two hours) Refer to Section 4.1 of User's Manual for list of procurement-related documents for record-keeping and maintenance. |
| 11.b | Implementing Units has and is implementing a system for keeping and maintaining complete and easily retrievable contract management records | Fully Compliant | 3.00 | | Verify actual contract management records and time it took to retrieve records should be no more than two hours |

ANNEX A
GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD
Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form

Name of Agency: J. H. Cerilles State College
Date of Self Assessment: June 29, 2022

Name of Evaluator: Mr. Rebmevon M.
Position: Head, BAC Secretariat

| No. | Assessment Conditions | Agency Score | APCPI Rating* | Comments/Findings to the Indicators and SubIndicators | Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be Included in the Evaluation) |
|---|---|----------------------|---------------|---|---|
| Indicator 12. Contract Management Procedures | | | | | |
| 12.a | Agency has defined procedures or standards in such areas as quality control, acceptance and inspection, supervision of works and evaluation of contractors' performance | Fully Compliant | 3.00 | | Verify copies of written procedures for quality control, acceptance and inspection; CPES evaluation formsz |
| 12.b | Timely Payment of Procurement Contracts | On or before 30 days | 3.00 | | Ask Finance or Accounting Head of Agency for average period for the release of payments for procurement contracts |
| | | | | | |

ANNEX A
GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD
Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form

Name of Agency: J. H. Cerilles State College
Date of Self Assessment: June 29, 2022

Name of Evaluator: Mr. Rebmevon M.
Position: Head, BAC Secretariat

| No. | Assessment Conditions | Agency Score | APCPI Rating* | Comments/Findings to the Indicators and SubIndicators | Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be included in the Evaluation) |
|--|---|--------------------------|---------------|---|---|
| | | Average III | 2.25 | | |
| PILLAR IV. INTEGRITY AND TRANSPARENCY OF AGENCY PROCUREMENT SYSTEM | | | | | |
| Indicator 13. Observer Participation in Public Bidding | | | | | |
| 13.a | Observers are invited to attend stages of procurement as prescribed in the IRR | Fully Compliant | 3.00 | | Verify copies of Invitation Letters to CSOs and professional associations and COA (List and average number of CSOs and PAs invited shall be noted.) |
| Indicator 14. Internal and External Audit of Procurement Activities | | | | | |
| 14.a | Creation and operation of Internal Audit Unit (IAU) that performs specialized procurement audits | Fully Compliant | 3.00 | | Verify copy of Order or show actual organizational chart showing IAU, audit reports, action plans and IAU recommendations |
| 14.b | Audit Reports on procurement related transactions | Above 90-100% compliance | 3.00 | | Verify COA Annual Audit Report on Action on Prior Year's Audit Recommendations |
| Indicator 15. Capacity to Handle Procurement Related Complaints | | | | | |
| 15.a | The Procuring Entity has an efficient procurement complaints system and has the capacity to comply with procedural requirements | Fully Compliant | 3.00 | | Verify copies of BAC resolutions on Motion for Reconsiderations, Protests and Complaints; Office Orders adopting measures to address procurement-related complaints |
| Indicator 16. Anti-Corruption Programs Related to Procurement | | | | | |
| 16.a | Agency has a specific anti-corruption program/s related to procurement | Fully Compliant | 3.00 | | Verify documentation of anti-corruption program |
| | | Average IV | 3.00 | | |
| GRAND TOTAL (Average I + Average II + Average III + Average IV / 4) | | | 2.42 | | |

Summary of APCPI Scores by Pillar

| APCPI Pillars | Ideal Rating | Agency Rating |
|---|--------------|---------------|
| I Legislative and Regulatory Framework | 3.00 | 1.82 |
| II Agency Institutional Framework and Management Capacity | 3.00 | 2.60 |
| III Procurement Operations and Market Practices | 3.00 | 2.25 |
| IV Integrity and Transparency of Agency Procurement Systems | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Total (Pillar I+Pillar II+Pillar III+ PillarIV)/4 | 3.00 | 2.42 |

